by column chromatography on Al₂O₄. Ebution with C_8H_6 EtOAc afforded ester 4 (1.30 g, 66%). The Mel was prepared in E1₂O and recrystallized from EtOAc-MeOH, mp 233°. *Anal.* (C₂₃H₃₆NO₂I) C, H, N.

4(e)-**Cyano**-trans-2-decalone Ethylene Ketal (28). — A solution of 4(a)-cyano-trans-2-decalone ethylenc ketal (23) (4.12 g, 0.018 mole) was refluxed for 70 hr in 20 ml of PhMe with 0.5 g of a 50', dispersion of Na11 in mineral oil (previously washed with PhMe). The mixture was cooled, poured onto ice, and extracted with C_6H_6 . The organic extracts were combined, washed with H₂O, and dried (MgSO₄). Evaporation of solvent afforded 28 as a tap oil (3.70 g, 89°C). A sample of 28 was purified for elemental analysis by preparative the on Al_2O_2 (C_6H_{16} -Et₂O, 1:1). Anol. ($C_{16}H_{16}$ NO₂1C, II, N.

4(e)-Dimethylaminomethyl-trans-2-decalone Ethylene Ketal (29).—A solution of 4(e)-cyano-trans-2-decalone ethylene ketal (28) (4.20 g, 0.019 mole) was reduced with excess LAH according to the directions for 16 to afford 2.90 g (0.013 mole, 68%) of the intermediate primary annue as a yellow oil.

Using the same method as shown for **17**, 0.496 g (0.002 mole) of the primary annue was converted into **29** (0.49 g, 80°_{ℓ}). The MeI of **29** was prepared in C₈H₈ and recrystallized from EtOAc MeOH, mp 210°. Anal. (C₁₆H₃₆NO₂L)C, H, N.

4(e)-**Dimethylaminomethyl**-*brans*-**2**-**decalone** (**30**). As described in the synthesis of **26**, **29** (5.82 g, 0.023 mole) was converted into 3.58 g (0.017 mole, 75%) of **30**.

4(e)-Dimethylaminomethyl-2-hydroxy-2-phenyl-trans-decalin (31 and 32). --Compound 30 (2.33 g, 0.011 mole) was treated, as described for the synthesis of 27, to give a liquid residue which was chromatographed on neutral Al_2O_2 (activity grade 11). Elution The equatorial phenyl isomer **31** was a viscous material which could not be crystallized. The MeI of **31** was prepared in Et₂O and recrystallized from EtOAc_MeOH, up 233°. Anol. ($C_{26}H_{36}$ -NOD C, H, N.

The axial pbenyl isomer **32** was recrystallized from EtOH-H₂O, mp 123–124°. *Anol.* ($C_{12}H_{22}NO$) C, H, N. Compound **32** (0.05 g) was dissolved in 5 ml of $10^{C_{12}}$ HCl and stirred for 3 br at 30°. The solution was couled in an ice bath made basic with $10^{C_{12}}$ NaOH, and extracted with Et₂O. The extracts were combined, dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated to afford 0.047 g of a mixture which was shown by the and column chromatography to consist of two components, a unpolar compound of high R_{I} and the equatorial phenyl isomer **31**.

4(e)-**Dimethylaminomethyl-2**(e)-**phenyl-2**(a)-**propionoxy***trans*-**decalin** (5).--Using the method for the synthesis of **4**, compound **31** (1.15 g, 0.004 molet gave a brown oil which was chromatographed on a column of Al₂O₃. Elution with C₄H₆ afforded 0.39 g ($25C_{4}$) of **5**. ..., trad. (C₂₂H₃₃NO₂) C, H, N.

4(e)-Dimethylaminomethyl-2(a)-phenyl-2(e)-propionoxytrens-decalin (6), \cdots A solution of 0.212 g (0.007 mole) of **32** treated as described for **4** gave a brown syrup which was purified by column chromatography on pentral Al₂O₅ (activity grade 11). Edution with C₆H₈ and C₆H₆-EtOAc provided the equatorial ester **6** (0.177 g, 70C₇). Anal. (C₂₂H₂₅NO) C, H. N.

Acknowledgment.—The authors wish to acknowledge the assistance of Professors J. D. McChesney and R. A. Wiley for supervision during the absence of M. P. M.

Analgetics Based on the Pyrrolidine Ring. V

JOHN F. CAVALLA,¹ IAN M. LOCKHART, NIGEL F. WEBB,

Parke, Davis and Company, Hounslow, Middlesex, England

CLAUDE V. WINDER, MYRNA WELFORD, AND AGNES WONG

Parke, Davis and Company, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106

Received February 10, 1570

The synthesis of some new m-(3-propyl-3-pyrrolidiuyl)phenols and the preliminary evaluation of their analgetic activities are described. A new optimum of activity has been found with p-R-phenethyl substitution on the pyrrolidine N. O-Methylation was much more deleterious than with the original N-Me optimum.

Previous papers^{2,3} in this series have described an extensive number of pyrrolidines of diversified types. They can be represented by the general formula **1**. Further work on m-(1-methyl-3-propyl-3-pyrrolidinyl)-



phenol (1, R = H; R' = Me), now designated profadol, has shown that this compound is a potent analgetic with a particularly interesting spectrum of pharmacological activity.⁴

(1) Present address: John Wyelb and Brother, Ltd., Maidenbead, Berkshire, England,

(2) J. F. Cavalla, R. Jones, M. Welford, J. Wax, and C. V. Winder, J. Med. Chem., 7, 412 (1964).

(3) J. F. Cavalla, D. C. Bishop, R. A. Selway, N. E. Webb, C. V. Winder, and M. Welford, *ibid.*, 8, 316 (1965).

(4) (a) C. V. Winder, M. Welford, J. Wax and D. H. Kaump, J. Pharmacol., **154**, **161** (1966); (b) G. A. Deneau and M. H. Seevers, "Bulletin of Drug Addiction and Narcotics (Problems Drug Dependence)," No. 27. Addendom I, National Academy of Science, National Research Council. In the last paper in this series, some Me ethers of pyrrolidines with large N substituents were described. In particular, the (p-aminophenethyl)pyrrolidine [1, R = Me; $R' = (CH_2)_2C_6H_4$ - $p\text{-NH}_2$] showed definite analgetic activity. This paper describes experiments designed to investigate further the analgetic activity of pyrrolidine compounds in which the Me group has been replaced by a large substituent. Some additional work to examine the effect of substituents in the phenolic OH is also described.

Chemistry. –The synthesis of N-substituted pyrrolidines of type **1** by direct alkylation, or by N-acylation followed by reduction of the amide, is described in the Experimental Section. In the latter cases, where O-demethylation with BBr₃ was involved in the syn-

^{Division of Medical Sciences, Washington (1965): (c) J. E. Villarreal and M. H. Seevers,} *ibid.*, No. 30, Addendum 2 (1968); (d) *ibid.*, No. 31, Addendum 2 (1969); (e) C. Schneider, Nature (London), 220, 586 (1968); (f) H. O. J. Collier, L. C. Dinneen, C. A. Johnson, and C. Schneider, Brit. J. Pharmacol., 32, 295 (1968); (g) H. W. Kosterlitz and A. J. Watt, *ibid.*, 33, 266 (1968); (h) D. R. Jasinski, W. R. Martin, and R. Hoeldtke, Fed. Proc., Fed. Amer. Soc. Exp. Boil., 28 (2), 735 (1969); (i) W. T. Beaver, S. L. Wallenstein, R. W. Houde, and A. Rogers, Clin. Pharmacol. Ther., 10, 314 (1969); (j) J. Parkhouse and V. Wright, Can. Med. Ass. J., 99, 887 (1968); (k) G. Słoman, D. Hont, and G. Hoffmann, Med. J. Aust., May 24, 1071 (1969).

thesis, it was found preferable to effect it on the amide prior to reduction rather than as the final stage. Straightforward procedures were not, however, always applicable and other routes were devised. In particular, difficulty was experienced in the O-demethylation of certain 1-alkyl-3-(m-methoxyphenyl)pyrrolidines. O-Benzyl and O-tetrahydropyranyl derivatives of m-(1-acetyl-3-propyl-3-pyrrolidinyl)phenol were deacetylated (method L) to give useful intermediates available for N-substitution. The reaction of styrene oxide with 3-propyl-3-[m-(tetrahydropyran-2vl)oxy]phenvlpvrrolidine followed by removal of the tetrahydropyranyl protecting group (method G) proved to be a satisfactory route to the $1-(\beta-hydroxyphen$ ethyl)pyrrolidine (53), since debenzylation of the appropriate O-benzylphenol did not always yield a satisfactory product in this particular instance.

Among other procedures, the ketal of 4-chlorobutyrophenone⁵ has been used for N-alkylation of 3-(*m*methoxyphenyl)-3-propylpyrrolidine; subsequent hydrolysis with alcoholic H_2SO_4 afforded 4-[3-(*m*-methoxyphenyl)-3-propyl-1-pyrrolidinyl]butyrophenone (method T). The enamine reaction (method A) and the Mannich reaction (method R) have also been utilized. Hydrogenation of 3-(*m*-methoxyphenyl)-1-(3-phenyl-2propynyl)-3-propylpyrrolidine in the presence of Pd-CaCO₃ (poisoned by quinoline)⁶ (method S) afforded 1*cis*-cinnamyl-3-(*m*-methoxyphenyl)-3-propylpyrrolidine.

On debenzylation of 3-(*m*-acetoxyphenyl)-1-benzyl-3-propylpyrrolidine by hydrogenation (method H), it was of interest that $O \rightarrow N$ migration of the acetyl group occurred, affording an intermediate 3-pyrrolidinylphenol with the N protected.

In the course of routine examination of the physicochemical properties of the compounds prepared in this work, it was of interest to find that the ir amide absorption of 1-[(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)acetyl]-3-(m-hydroxyphenyl)-3-propylpyrrolidine [1, R = H; R' = $COCH_2C_6H_3-3,4-(OH)_2$] was at 1590 cm⁻¹ in Nujol, unusually low. Even in CHCl₃ solution, it was still low at 1595 cm⁻¹ but when examined in THF, it shifted to 1640 cm⁻¹, a more normal wave length.

Experimental Section⁷

Pyrrolidines used as starting materials were prepared by methods previously described.^{2,3} The physical properties of new pyrrolidines prepared in this work appear in Table I and relevant experimental details are given below.

1-(1-Cyclohexen-1-yl)-3-(m-methoxyphenyl)-3-propylpyrrolidine. Method A.—p-Toluenesnlfonic acid (0.2 g) and PhMe (30 ml) were added to cyclohexanone (4.9 g; 0.05 mole) and 3-(m-methoxyphenyl)-3-propylpyrrolidine (10.95 g; 0.05 mole) in PhMe (30 ml) and the mixture refluxed for 5 hr in a Dean–Stark apparatus. It was evaporated to dryness and distillation of the residue afforded the product as a colorless liquid.

3-(m-Methoxyphenyl)-1-phenyl-3-propylpyrrolidine. Method B.—The above cyclohexenylpyrrolidine (4.5 g) was heated with 10% Pd-C (0.55 g) under a slow stream of N₂ at 300° for 16 hr. The mixture was cooled, EtOH added, and the catalyst filtered off. Concentration of the filtrate and distillation of the residue afforded the 1-phenylpyrrolidine as a colorless viscous oil.

Phenol Esters. Method C.—The appropriate pyrrolidinylphenol was refluxed in pyridine with the acid anhydride for 2 hr. The mixture was evaporated to dryness and the product purified by distillation.

1-Alkylpyrrolidines. Method D.---The appropriate halide (0.1 mole) in dry DMF was added to the appropriate pyrrolidine (0.1 mole) and K_2CO_3 (0.2 mole) in dry DMF (20 ml). The mixture was maintained at 35–50° overnight, and poured into H₂O. The product was isolated with C₆H₆ and purified by distillation. In certain cases, the bases were converted into their hydrochlorides. In addition to the compounds listed in Table I the previously described² 1-benzy1-3-(w-methoxypheny1)-3-propyl-pyrrolidine was also obtained by this method in 86% yield.

Pyrrolidinylphenols. Method E.—(Methoxyphenyl)pyrrolidines were converted into the corresponding phenols with HBr as previously described.³

Method F.—Methyl ethers were also converted into phenols by treatment with BBr₃ as described in earlier work.⁸ Where the pyrrolidine was available only as the base and not as the hydrochloride, the base was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 and the solution saturated with HCl at 0° before treating with BBr₃.

Method G.—As an example of the conversion of a tetrahydropyranyl ether into the corresponding phenol, 1-benzyl-3-propyl- $3-\{m-[(tetrahydropyran-2-yl)oxy]phenyl{pyrrolidine (4 g) in$ EtOH (15 ml) was stirred with 2 N H₂SO₄ (30 ml) for 15min. The EtOH was evaporated and the residue poured into5 N NaOH (100 ml). The solution was saturated with CO₂ andthe liberated base extracted with Et₂O. <math>m-(1-Benzyl-3-propyl-3pyrrolidinyl)phenol (2.3 g) obtained by this method was identical with that from method E.

m-(1-Acetyl-3-propyl-3-pyrrolidinyl)phenol. Method H.— Three different synthetic approaches were used. (a) 3-(m-Acetoxyphenyl)-1-benzyl 3-propylpyrrolidine (33.7 g) in EtOH (350 ml) was hydrogenated in the presence of 10% Pd-C (9 g) at atmospheric pressure and room temperature. The catalyst was filtered off; evaporation of the filtrate afforded a pale green oil which crystallized on standing. Recrystallization from C₆H₆-petroleum ether (bp 40–60°) afforded the 1-acetylpyrrolidine as white cubes (85% yield).

(b) Ac₂O (50 ml) was added to 3-(*m*-hydroxyphenyl)-3-propylpyrrolidine (5.3 g)³ in pyridine (50 ml). The mixture was left overnight at room temperature and refluxed for 2 hr. It was evaporated to dryness and reevaporated three times from xylene to give the crude diacetyl compound as a light red glass (6.6 g; 88%). The crude diacetylpyrrolidine was dissolved in EtOH (15 ml) and stirred vigorously with 2 N NaOH (125 ml) for 40 min. The mixture was extracted with Et₂O, the aq solution charcoaled and saturated with Co₂. The 1-acetylpyrrolidine (67% yield) was extracted with C₆H₆.

(c) 1-Acetyl-3-(*m*-methoxyphenyl)-3-propylpyrrolidine, prepared as described in method J, was treated with BBr₃.[§] m-(1-Acetyl-3-propyl-3-pyrrolidinyl)phenol was obtained in 87 % yield.

N-**Acy**-*O*-**alky**1-**3**-**pyrrolidiny**1**pheno**1. **Method I**.—The ()alkylation of *m*-(1-acety]-**3**-**pyrrolidiny**1**pheno**1 is ilhistrated by the following. The acetylpyrrolidine (9.9 g) in DMF (20 ml) was added to a stirred suspension of NaH (1.95 g; 50% dispersion in oil) in DMF (20 ml). The mixture was heated to 45°. To the white pasty mass EtBr (3.2 ml) was added dropwise with stirring. The mixture was stirred for 1 hr and the resultant light orange solution evaporated to 0.25 volume, poured into H₂O (500 ml), and extracted with C₆H₆ (3 × 50 ml). The dried C₆H₆ solution was evaporated and the residue distilled *in vacuo*.

1-Acetyl-3-(m-methoxyphenyl)-**3-**propylpyrrolidine. Method J.—Ac₂O (40 ml) was added to 3-(m-methoxyphenyl)-3-propylpyrrolidine (21.9 g) in glacial AcOH (40 ml) and the mixture refluxed for 1 hr. Excess solvent, etc., was removed by distillation *in vacvo* and the residue poured into 2 N NaOH (125 ml). The product was extracted into Et₂O and purified by distillation.

1-Acetyl-3-(m-propoxyphenyl)-3-propylpyrrolidine. Method K.—Hydrogenation of a [m-(allyloxy)phenyl[pyrrolidine (8.6 g) in 96% EtOH (50 ml) in the presence of 10% Pd-C at atmospheric pressure and 50°, afforded a convenient route to the corresponding O-1'r compound.

⁽⁵⁾ H. J. Dauben, B. Löken, and H. J. Ringold, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 76, 1359 (1954).

⁽⁶⁾ H. Lindlar, Helv. Chim. Acta., 35, 446 (1952).

⁽⁷⁾ Melting points are corrected and were determined in a capillary tube (using a Townson & Mercer Ltd. apparatus). Boiling points are uncorrected. Where analyses are indicated only by symbols of the elements or functions, analytical results obtained for those elements or functions were within $\pm 0.4\%$ of the theoretical values.

⁽⁸⁾ D. C. Bishop, J. F. Cavalla, I. M. Lockhart, M. Writht, C. V. Winder, A. Wong, and M. Stephens, J. Med. Chem., 11, 466 (1968).

TABLE I Pyimoliumes

$\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{O}} = \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{O}}$

				R				
	12	R7	нр. °С (шеэ	MeOl	Yi904, 	W ¹⁴¹ (*	Feenena	Analyses
1	Me	\rightarrow	166-170 (u.5)	А	62	1.5498	$C_{26}H_{28}NO$	С, Н, N
0	Ma	Uh	170 178 (0.2)	12	eo	1 1000	(\cdot, H, N)	CHN
- 	COMe	CH.Ph	170-1(0 (0.4)) 182 188 (0.7)		60 60	1.5150	$(1 \text{ H} \cdot \mathbf{N})$	$C \sqcup N$
.,		(71121-1)	199-199 (0.74	ζ.	<u></u>	1.0472	C -551 1 511 8 C /2	(5.11, A
4	L _n t	CH ₂ Ph	190-196 (0.25)	\downarrow	38	1,5570	$C_{25}H_{33}NO_{2}$	С, Ц, Х
5	П	$\mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{Ph}$	192-197 (0.8);	E, G	60;73		$C_{20}H_{25}NO$	C, 11, N
) r		108~109*	• .			21	TT 37 (1)
6	Me	[CH ₂] ₂ Ph	184-186 (0.7)	D	65	1.5531	C ₂₂ H ₂₈ NO	$\Pi, \mathbf{N}; \mathbf{C}^*$
•	Me	[CH ₂] ₂ Fn	167168*			e	$C_{22}H_{20}CINO$	C, H, N
6			158140*	r., M	- 68 - 19		C H CNO	C II N
10	UOMe	IOnggern COM-	147149*	(IT	0.8	(°	$C_{23}\Pi_{30}O(NO_2)$	C, Π, N
10	11 CUIDI.	COMe	148-149"	11	(f (D))	1 2005	$C_{13}\Pi_{21}NO_2$	
11	CHARN CH	COME	210-220 (0.5) 179-179 (0.5)	I T	90 20	1.5090	$C_{22}\Pi_{27}NO_2$	C, H, N
1.5	Ungen ⇔erne vi	COMe	173 - 178 (0.5) 180 - 182 (0.2)	1	118	1.0420	$C_{18}\Pi_{25}INO_2$	$C_{1} \Pi_{1} N$
14	Mo	COMe	152 158 (0.5)	1	50 50	1.5988	$C_{13} \Pi_{25} NO_2$	CHN
15	D"	COMe	100 = 155 (0.4) 160 = 171 (0.2)			1.0000	$C_{16}I_{23}NO$	C H N
10	CHIPL	17	108 - 171 (0.5) 171 - 174 (0.9)	1	31 60	1.0040	$C_{18} I_{27} N C_{2}$	C H N
10	CTI21 II	.11	$1(1-1) \pm (0.0),$ 65.672	1.	-00		C -26112518 CV	C. 11, 18
17	CHUD	IJ	100-007 70 80-	т			C IL CINO H.O.	CHN
19		II II	192 198 (0.4)	1	67	C 1 5406	C H NO	CHN
10	$On_2On=On_2$	11 14	120 - 120 (0.4) 119 - 116 (0.1)	1,	97 85	1.5400	C_{10}	C = N
20	A I b't	11 H	$112 - 110 \ [0.1]$	1.	00 89	1.5291	$C_{1} = C_{1} = C_{1$	
	CH-Ph	ICH.1.Ph	916 999 (0.2)	1.	04	1.5755	$C_{6}H_{2}NO$	$H \mathbf{N} \in C^{j}$
	Mo	ICH _a l ₂ Ph	147.1483	D	70	1.0100	CaHaCINO	C H N
02	II II	ICH _a l _a Ph	1571612	E I	79	e e	Callacino	C H N
-97 -97	Ma	ICH4LOPh	209-205 (n S)		60	1 5518	$C_{22}H_{30}OI_{11}O$	CHN
24 95	11	ICH JOPh	217-220 (0.7)	17 17	-t()	1.00015.9	$C_{22}H_{25}NO_{2}$	C H N
,		Tourislaon n	109-1084	`	-((1		0.21112120002	• • • • • •
26	Н	1CH41-CeH-n-NO	108-110°	F	60	a	Co.H. BrN.O.	C. H. N
07	H	$[CH_{*}]_{*}C_{*}H_{*}p$. NH.	145-1509	Ň	78	N C	$C_{\rm a}H_{\rm a}N_{\rm s}O_{\rm s}$	-C H C N
		[0111]200114 p 1111	110 100		,,,,		1.95-HCl-2H-O	c, 11, ci, i
28	Ме	COCH ₂ C ₆ H ₄ -p-OMe	238-242 (0.6)	0	53	1.5665	C ₂₅ H ₂₅ NO ₃	C. H. N
29	CH-Ph	COCH ₂ C ₆ H ₄ -p-OMe	280-290 (0.6)	0	67		C29 Ha3NO3	II, N : C^{n}
30	Me	CH ₂] ₂ C ₆ H ₄ - <i>p</i> -OMe	194 - 198 (0.4)	p	93	1.5506	C ₂₃ H ₂₃ NO ₃	C. H. N
Зt	Me	[CH ₂] ₂ C ₆ H ₄ -p-OMe	136-137	P		e	$C_{23}H_{32}CINO_2$	C, H, N
32	$CH_{2}Ph$	[CH ₂] ₂ C ₆ II ₄ -p-OMe	254-257 (0.5)	P	71	1.5780	$\mathrm{C}_{23}\mathrm{H}_{35}\mathrm{NO}_2$	C. H. N
33	11	[CH_]_Cell_p-OMe	106-108*	М	92	¢	C ₂₂ H ₃₉ CINO ₂ .	C, H, N
		· · ·					H ₂ O	
34	11	$[CH_2]_{2}C_{6}H_{4^+}p_{^+}OH$	188 - 190	F.	91		$\mathrm{C}_{24}\mathrm{H}_{25}\mathrm{NO}_{2}$	C, H, N
35	11	$[CH_2]$:C ₆ H ₄ -p-OH	213-215°	F		С	$\mathrm{C}_{23}\mathrm{H}_{28}\mathrm{CINO}_2$	С. Н, N
36	Me	[CH ₂] ₂ C ₆ H ₃ -(3,4- diOMe)	214 -215 (0.5)	р	70	1.5526	$\hat{\mathrm{C}}_{24}\mathrm{H}_{32}\mathrm{NO}_{3}$	С, Н, Х
37	Me	$[CH_{2}C_{e}H_{2}m_{e}OMe]$	200-202(0.5)	р	85	1.5521	CathaNOs	C. H. N
38	Me	ICH ₁] _* C ₆ II _* -0-OM ₀	196-198 (0.5)	P	76	1.5545	CarlyNO.	C. H. N
3:1	11	[CH ₂] ₂ C ₆ H ₃ -(3,4-	78800	F	71		$C_{25}H_{32}NO_7$	$C, N \in \Pi^k$
30	П	diOH)* ICU-laCaHaaw=OH	\$9_\$16	F	18		C.H.NO.	CHN
T ()	11	[C115] 606111-W-O11		1	1.1		$0.5-C_3H_6$	• , 11, .•
41	П	[CH ₂] ₂ C ₆ H ₄ - <i>o</i> -OH	4749*	P.	83		$C_{2}H_{2}NO_{2}$	C. H. N
42	COMe	$[CH_2]_2C_6H_4-p-$	232 - 236 (0.3)	Q	78	1.5380	$C_{25}H_{31}NO_4$	С. Н, N
		OCOMe						
43	COMe	$[CH_2]_2C_6H_3-(3,4-$	See text	\mathbf{Q}	85		$C_{23}H_{23}NO_6$	С, Н, N
44	II	$COCH_2C_6H_3$ -(3.4-	205-207*	F'	6Ĝ		C ₂₀ H ₂₅ NO₄	C, H. N
		di-OH		-				··· , ··· , •··
45	11	COCH ₂ C ₆ H ₄ -m-OH	124-125*	\mathbf{F}^{t}	62		$C_{21}H_{25}NO_3$	Π , N : C ^{or}
46	CH ₂ Ph	$[CH_2]_2COPh$	7å~76°	В	30		$C_{29}H_{33}NO_2$	C, II, N
47	Me	CH ₂ C==CPh	184 - 189(0,2)	\mathbf{R}	75	1.5755	$C_{23}H_{27}NO$	С, Н, N
48	Me	$\rm CH_2CH$ $=$ $\rm CHPb^*$	178-181 (0.3)	.S	74	1.5720	$C_{23}H_{29}NO$	С, Ц. М

	TABLE I (Continued)							
	Yield.							
	R	R'	Bp, °C (mm)	Method	%	$n^{20}{ m d}$ d	Formula	Analyses
49	Me	[CH ₂] ₃ —C—Ph	230-232(0.9)	D	90	1.5446	$\mathrm{C}_{26}\mathrm{H}_{35}\mathrm{NO}_{3}$	С, Н, N
50	Me	[CH ₂] ₃ COPh	228-230 (0.9)	Т	93	1.5543	$C_{24}H_{31}NO_2$	C, H, N
51	Me	CH ₂ CHOHPh	130-132ª	U	26	С	$C_{22}H_{30}NO_2$	C, H, N
52	CH ₂ Ph	$\rm CH_2CHOHPh$	238-243 (0.3)	U	60		$\mathrm{C}_{28}\mathrm{H}_{30}\mathrm{NO}_2$	II, N; C°
53	Н	CH ₂ CHOHPh	224-228 (0.4)	G	32		$C_{21}H_{22}NO_{2}$	II, N; C^{μ}
5 4	\mathbf{Et}	Me	107-111 (0.3)	v	85	1.5262	$C_{16}H_{25}NO$	С, Н, N
55	$CH_2CH=CH_2$	Me	108-110 (0.2)	V	83	1.5296	$C_{17}H_{25}NO$	С, Н, N
56	\Pr	Me	110-114 (0.1)	V	76	1.5236	$C_{17}H_{27}NO$	С, Н, N
5 7	$\mathrm{CH}_2\mathrm{Ph}$	Me	162-165 (0.3)	v	84	1.5682	$C_{21}H_{27}NO$	С, Н, N
5 8	CH_2Ph	Me	$69 - 70^{a}$	v		c	$C_{21}H_{28}ClNO \cdot H_{2}O$	С, Н, N
59	Me	$\rm CH_2CO_2Et$	$148 - 153 \ (0.5)$	D	80	1.5163	$\mathrm{C}_{18}\mathrm{H}_{27}\mathrm{NO}_3$	H, N; C^q
60	Me	$\rm CH_2 CONHPh$	$69 - 70^a$	W	45		$C_{22}H_{28}N_2O_2$	С, Н, N

^a Melting point. ^b C: calcd, 81.7; found, 81.1. ^c Hydrochloride. ^d See text. ^e C: calcd, 77.2; found, 78.0. ^f C: calcd, 84.1; found, 83.3. ^g Hydrobromide. ^h C: calcd, 78.5; found, 78.0. ⁱ In isolating this compound by dissolving in 6 N ammonia, careful pH control (using ammonium acetate as buffer) appeared to be necessary. ^j Succinate salt. ^k H: calcd, 7.3; found, 7.8. ^l From the crude amide prepared by method O. ^m C: calcd, 74.3; found 73.8. ⁿ cis Isomer. ^o C: calcd, 80.9; found, 80.3. ^p C: calcd, 77.5; found, 78.2. ^q C: calcd 70.8; found 70.1.

O-Alkylpyrrolidinylphenols. Method L.—1-Acetyl-3-(methoxyphenyl)-3-propylpyrrolidine (9.1 g) in EtOH (60 ml) was refluxed with a solution of KOH (45 g) in EtOH (160 ml) and H_2O (60 ml) for 18 hr. The solution was evaporated to halfbulk and diluted with H_2O (250 ml) and the product extracted with Et₂O.

Debenzylation. Method M.—The [m-(benzyloxy)phenyl]pyrrolidine (0.05 mol) was debenzylated by hydrogenation in EtOHin the presence of 10% Pd-C (0.5 g) at atmospheric pressure.Removal of the catalyst, followed by evaporation of the filtrate,gave the pyrrolidinylphenol.

m-[1-(p-Aminophenethyl)-3-propyl-3-pyrrolidinyl]phenol·HCl. Method N.—m-[1-(p-Nitrophenethyl)-3-propyl-3-pyrrolidinyl]phenol·HBr (12.4 g) in EtOH was hydrogenated at atmospheric pressure in the presence of 10% Pd-C (0.75 g). The catalyst was filtered off and the filtrate evaporated. The residue was basified with NaHCO₃ and dissolved in MeOH and 3 N methanolic HCl (25 ml) added. Evaporation afforded a cream residue which was dissolved in *i*-PrOH, the solution charcoaled, and Et₂O containing a trace of HCl added to the filtrate. The cream crystalline hydrochloride had mp 145–150°. Potentiometric titration (50% EtOH) was as expected but indicated that the product was slightly short of HCl.

3-(*m*-Methoxyphenyl)-1-[*p*-methoxyphenyl)acetyl]-3-propylpyrrolidine. Method O.—3-(*m*-Methoxyphenyl)-3-propylpyrrolidine (2.2 g) was suspended in 2 N NaOH (10 ml) and H₂O (5 ml) and cooled to 5°. (*p*-Methoxyphenyl)acetyl chloride⁹ (1.7 g) in C₆H₆ (5 ml) was added with stirring. The mixture was stirred at 5-10° for 0.5 hr, allowed to warm up to room temperature, and stirred for a further 2 hr. The mixture was extracted with Et₂O, the Et₂O solution washed with 2 N NaOH, H₂O, and 2 N HCl, and evaporated. Distillation gave the product (2.0 g) as a colorless oil.

The corresponding 3-[*m*-(benzyloxy)phenyl]pyrrolidine was prepared similarly.

1-(p-Methoxyphenethyl)-3-(m-methoxyphenyl)-3-propylpyrrolidine. Method P.—The appropriate amide (9.3 g; see above) in Et₂O (50 ml) was refluxed with a suspension of LAH (3.2 g) in Et₂O (75 ml) for 4 hr. Normal work-up procedures gave the 1-(p-methoxyphenethyl)pyrrolidiue which afforded a hydrochloride as white cubes.

1-(p-Acetoxyphenethyl)-3-(m-acetoxyphenyl)-3-propylpyrrolidine. Method Q.—1-(p-Hydroxyphenethyl)-3-(m-hydroxyphenyl)-3-propylpyrrolidiue \cdot HCl (8.0 g), AcONa (8.0 g), and Ac₂O (80 ml) were heated on the steam bath with stirring for 1 hr. The mixture was cooled, poured into ice-water (500 ml), and stirred for 15 min. The aq solution was extracted with Et₂O (100 ml) and adjusted to pH 7 with 6 N NH₃. The diacetate was isolated with Et₂O and purified by distillation.

3-(m-Acetoxyphenyl)-1-(3,4-diacetoxyphenethyl)-3-propyl-pyrrolidine, prepared by a similar method, decomposed on distil-

lation. In a repeat preparation it was found that the yellow glass, obtained on evaporation of the final Et_2O extracts, analyzed for the required triacetate.

Method R.—This method utilises the Mannich reaction, the pyrrolidine serving as the amine, and is based on work reported by El'stov, *et al.*¹⁰ 3-[m-(Benzyloxy)phenyl]-3-propylpyrrolidine (8.85 g), EtOH (50 ml), 4 N ethanolic HCl (10 ml), paraformaldehyde (1.8 g), and acetophenone (5.6 ml) were refluxed for 20 hr in the presence of a trace of HCl. The solution was evaporated, the residue poured into H₂O and extracted with Et₂O. The aq layer, containing the oily hydrochloride, was basified (5 N NaOH) and the product isolated with Et₂O.

In another experiment, acetophenone was replaced by phenylacetylene and the ethanolic HCl omitted. The mixture was refluxed for 3 hr and poured into 2 N HCl. Subsequent work-up was as above.

4-[3-(m-Methoxyphenyl)-3-propyl-1-pyrrolidinyl] butyrophenone. Method T.—The ketal 49 (0.025 mole) in EtOH (100 ml) was hydrolyzed by refluxing with 2 N H₂SO₄ (175 ml) for 2 hr, H₂O (200 ml) was added, the solution was basified with solid K₂CO₃, and the product was extracted with Et₂O.

 α -Phenyl-1-pyrrolidineethanols. Method U.—3-(*m*-Methoxyphenyl)-3-propylpyrrolidine (4.0 g), styrene oxide (4.0 g), and C₆H₆ (40 ml) were refluxed for 16 hr. Solvent was removed and ethereal HCl added to the residue. The hydrochloride was recrystallized from *i*-PrOH-Et₂O.

(Alkoxyphenyl)-1-methylpyrrolidines. Method V.—(Alkoxyphenyl)pyrrolidines were N-methylated by heating with HCO₂H (98%) and aq CH₂O (40%) at 120° until evolution of CO₂ ceased. The mixture was refluxed for 2.5 hr, cooled, and poured into ice-cold K₂CO₃ solution and the product extracted into Et₂O.

3-(m-Methoxyphenyl)-**3**-propyl-**1**-pyrrolidineacetanilide. Method W.—Anjline (4.8 g) was added to a solution of EtMgBr [from Mg (1.4 g) and EtBr (7.5 g)] in Et₂O (200 ml). After refluxing for 15 min, ethyl 3-(m-methoxyphenyl)-3-propyl-1pyrrolidineacetate (7.03 g) in Et₂O (40 ml) was added and the mixture refluxed for a further 30 min. After cooling and addition of saturated NH₄Cl, the anilide was isolated from the Et₂O as white plates crystallizing from petroleum ether (bp 60-80°).

3- $\{m$ - $[(Tetrahydropyran-2-yl)oxy]phenyl<math>\}$ -**3-**propylpyrrolidine. Method X.—m-(1-Acetyl-3-propyl-3-pyrrolidinyl)phenol (10.2 g) was suspended in 2,3-dihydropyran (31.0 ml). A few drops of concd HCl were added and the mixture heated to 50° for 2.5 hr, followed by 16 hr at room temperature. Et₂O was added, the mixture washed with 2 N NaOH, and a yellow oil (32 g) isolated

⁽⁹⁾ J. C. Cain, J. I. Simonsen, and C. Smith, J. Chem. Soc., 103, 1035 (19)3).

⁽¹⁰⁾ A. V. El'stov, A. G. Chigarev, and N. T. Starykh, J. Gen. Chem. U.S.S.R., 34, 3385 (1964).

from the Et₂O. Hydrolysis of the N-acetyl compound with ethanolic KOH (method L above) afforded a yellow oil (87%) overall yield), bp 149–154° (0.3 mm), $(n^{20}D = 1.5342)$, which was N-alkylated directly.

m-[1-(2-Phenoxyethyl)-3-propyl-3-pyrrolidinyl]phenol. Method Y.—m-(3-Propyl-3-pyrrolidinyl)phenol (6.15 g) in DMF (30 ml), NaHCO₃ (6.3 g), and 2-phenoxyethyl bromide (6.03 g) in DMF (20 ml) were stirred at 45° for 17 hr. The cooled mixture was poured into H₂O (150 ml), 2 N NaOH (100 ml) added, and the mixture extracted with Et₂O. The main bulk of the (phenoxyethyl)pyrrolidine was present in the Et₂O extracts from which it was isolated. The product was purified by distillation or by recrystallization from MeOH.

Pharmacology.—Acute lethal toxicities and antinociceptive (analgetic) potencies were estimated in young male rats by the intraperitoneal route as described earlier¹¹ in some detail. Essentially, the antinociceptive potencies are based reciprocally on doses estimated to cause equivalent elevations of the amount of mechanical pressure on the tail required to elicit squeaking. It is pertinent to note that thoughtful use of such procedures has been highly predictive of the kind of central pain-releaving action possessed by narcotics ("agonist" type) while they have not been useful in showing the kind possessed by certain "marcotic antagonists" except, perhaps, in small part.⁴⁶

When possible, soluble addition salts, or bases with equivalent HCl, were dissolved in 1 ml of $0.9\frac{\nu}{6}$ w v NaCl 100 g of rat. Numerons exceptions forced by poor solubilities are noted in Tables II and III.

A little over half (31) of the compounds listed in Table I were studied in rats. In the five instances where both the base and its salt are listed, only the salts were studied. Several compounds (22) were consumed as intermediates in the synthesis of other pyrrolidines (1, 3, 4, 11-15, 18-21, 28, 29, 32, 36-38, 45, 46, 52, 59). The sample of 53 was too small for biological study. Compound 44 was tested for general neuromotor effects in mice; doses up to 250 mg/kg administered intraperitoneally in suspension were without clear effect.

Discussion

Substitutions on N.—As in profadol itself, Nmethylation clearly had been the optimum among N substitutions previously reported.^{2,3} However, most³ of the earlier exploration of heavier N substitutions was done with the phenolic function methylated, and it was necessary to study possible biological interactions of such substitutions with the heretofore superior free phenolic function as it exists in profadol.

The essential result with the heavier N substitutions (Table II) is that on going from N-methylation to N-phenethylation, either with or without p-NH₄, an unmuzzled *m*-phenolic function on the 3-Ph becomes more critical in biological effects. Whereas with Omethylation inferior though clear antinociceptive activity occurred, with the phenolic function unmuzzled a new and higher optimum of potency or potency: toxicity ratio was obtained. With p-OH on the N-phenethyl. although solubility at biologically tolerable pH deteriorated, superior potency could still be demonstrated with the 3-m-phenol unmuzzled. With the generally less advantageous p-NO₂ or p-OMe on the *N*-phenethyl, differences in biological effects between the free and muzzled 3-m-phenolic function could not be shown clearly; while m-OH, a-OH, and 3.4-(OH); led to progressively deteriorating albeit still fairly clear activity with the 3-m-phenol free.

With and/or without methylation of the 3-*m*-phenol, considerable variety of N substitutions aside from Me and phenethyl (with *p*-H, NH_2 , OH, OMe, NO_2 , or *m*-OH) have failed to yield clear antinociceptive ac-

(11) J. F. Cavalla, J. Davoll, M. J. Dean, C. S. Franklin, D. M. Temple, J. Wax, and C. V. Winder, J. Mpd, Physical Chem., 4, 1 (1951). tivity of the traditional (agonist) type such as demonstrable by the method used (Table 11): higher alkyl, with varying degrees and types of oxidation: acyl; Ph: CH₂Ph; C₂Ph with varying oxidation or insertions of O or N; C₃Ph or C₄Ph with varying oxidations.

m - [1 - (p - Aminophenethyl) - 3 - propyl - 3 - pyrrolidinyl] **phenol.**—Compound **27** (1, R = H; $R' = \int (CH_2)^2_{42} C_6 H_4$ p-NH₂), most potent by the ip route and desirably soluble as the 2HCl salt, has been compared in some detail with profadol (1, R = H; R' = Me). Timeaction studies of the antipociceptive action in cats by the subcutaneous and oral routes, using experimental designs described elsewhere.^{4a} confirmed the superior parenteral potency; it was $2.3(1.7 \text{ to } 3.1)_{33\%}$ times that of profadol (base; base) by the subcutancous route. However, by the oral route this compound was only 0.83(0.48 to $1.3)_{95\%}$ as potent as profadol, indicating a lower gral; parenteral efficiency ratio. Furthermore, no significant qualitative differences between this new compound and profadol could be demonstrated in shapes of time action curves or in ratios of activity to occurrence of sublethal side effects by either route. Finally, while the new compound resembled profadol^{4a,b} in failing to suppress signs of withdrawal of morphine from heavily dependent monkeys, it differed from profadol^{4a,e} in not clearly precipitating signs of withdrawal in such monkeys while they were receiving their regular morphine injections.¹⁰ Its physical dependence liability, therefore, may be greater than profadol's.

Acylation of the 3-*m*-Phenolic Function. As reviewed in Table III, varying acylation (1, R = COMe, COEt, CO-*n*-Pr, or CO-*i*-Pr; R' = Mc) had resulted in constant, moderate reduction in potency of profadol without striking alterations of the potency:toxicity ratio. We have suggested that this constant potency with varying acylation results from *in vivo* hydrolysis of the esters to profadol.³ This inference is supported by the finding that acylation of the N(CH₂)₂Ph compound, diacylation of the N(CH₂)₂C₆H₄-*p*-OH compound, and triacylation of the N(CH₂)₂C₆H₈-3,4-(OH)₂ compound result in analogous slight to moderate changes in biological properties (Table 11).

It is conceivable that delay in such hydrolysis might prolong the duration of action. Time action studies by the oral route, using the same experimental designs indicated above, did, indeed, suggest some slight prolongation of action of the several esters of profadol by comparison with profadol itself, but the magnitude of prolongation was not considered useful. It should be pointed out that prolongation by a parenteral route could be greater because of avoiding high initial portal concentrations of drug.

Alkylation of the 3-*m*-Phenolic Function. It was found earlier^{2,3} that O-methylation of profadol reduces its potency by one-half and lowers its potency: toxicity index to the level of prodilidine's. It is now found (Table III) that certain higher O-alkylations (1: R =Et. *n*-Pr. CH₂CHCH₂, CH₂Ph: R' = Me) still further reduce the level and quality of activity. It is recalled that O-methylation also shuts off an increasingly deleterions effect of increasing length of 2-*n*-alkylation

⁽¹²⁾ Personal communication from De. J. E. Vi0arreal of the University of Michigan.

TABLE II: SUBSTITUTIONS ON N



		It.		
N	D.	Estd ip	Estd av ip lethal dose	$\frac{\text{Potency} \times}{(0.8 \times 132)}$
No.	Rž	Dotency	(mg of base, kg)	(0.8 × 155)
11 = 11		0.2	ېنې	1.0
(l	Me	(2, 0)		1.9
d	H	(U.1)*	119	(0,1)
	n-Pr COM	None	00 > 1600a	
10	CUMe	None ^{g,n}	>1600%	
0	CH₂Ph (CH) DI	None	378	<10 i
8	$1CH_2)_2Ph$	4.9	2591	<12.*
26	$(CH_2)_2C_6H_4-p-NO_2$	0.27	ə(ə)	0.7
$\frac{2\epsilon}{2\epsilon}$	$(CH_2)_2C_6H_4-p-NH_2$	0.8	52	2.8
80 00	$(CH_2)_2C_6H_4-p-OH$	3.5'	1174	<3.8'
33	$(CH_2)_{2}C_{6}H_{4}-p$ -OMe	0.64	126	<0.0
40	$(CH_{2})_{2}C_{6}H_{4}$ ->>-OH	$0.6^{i,k}$	145.4	<0.8
41	$(CH_2)_2C_6H_4$ -o-OH	0.3^{**}	1836*	(<0,4)
59	$(CH_2)_{2}C_{6}H_{0}-3,4-(OH)_{2}$	$0.0\delta^i$	≥1190°	(a, 0, 5)
25	$(CH_2)_2OPh$	$(0.3)^{e}$		(0.2)
23	(CH₂)₃Ph	None ^{1,j}	492'	
ll = COMe				
d	Me	1.7	97	1.5
d	n-Pr	$None^{f}$	59	
9	$(CH_2)_2Ph$	3.7	59	2.0
42	$(CH_2)_2C_6H_4$ -p-OCOMe	3.9	137^{i}	$< 5.0^i$
43	$(CH_2)_2C_6H_3$ -3,4- $(OCOMe)_2$	$(0.05?)^{e,l}$	$\geq 400^{l}$	$(0,2?)^{l}$
R = Me				
d	${ m Me}$	1.3	67	0.8
d	$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{t}$	$(0.4)^{e}$	64	(0.2)
d	<i>n</i> -Pr	$(0.3)^{e}$	84	(0.2)
d	CH ₂ C=CH	$(0.2)^{e}$	168	(0.3)
d	<i>n</i> -Hep	None ^{f m}	387^{m}	
d	$(CH_2)_2O(CH_2)_2OH$	$(0,2)^{o}$	137	(0,2)
2	Ph	None	$> 1600^{m}$	
d	$CH_{2}Ph$	$(0,1)^{ex}$	189^{i}	$(<0.2)^{i}$
7	$(CH_2)_2Ph$	0.8	293^{i}	$<\!\!2$, 4^i
d	$(CH_2)_2C_6H_4$ - p - NO_2	0 , 4^i	167^{i}	$< 0.6^{i}$
d	$(CH_2)_2C_6H_4-p-NH_2$	0.9	56	0,ō
31	$(CH_2)_2C_6H_4$ - p -OMe	0.6	99	0.6
51	CH ₂ CHOHPh	$(0.2)^{e}$	82	(0.2)
d	CH.).NO	$(0,1)^{e}$	112	(0.1)
24	$(CH_{4})_{3}OPh$	$(0,2)^{e}$	146	(0,3)
60	CH CON HPh	None ^{g, h}	>16000	(0.0)
00	(CH ₄) ₂ Ph	$(0,3)^n$	3721	(<1.1)00
48	$CH_{*}CH = CHPh(cis)$	None ^{f m}	461 ^m	()
47	$CH_*C = CPh$	Noue	1130	
d	(CH _*) _* CHOHPh	(0.5)*	<u>ð</u> 9	(0.3)
d	(CH _a) ₂ CH(OCOC ₂ H ₂)Ph	$0 2^{i}$	317^{i}	$(<0.5)^i$
đ	(CH _a) _a COPh	$(0,6)^{e,i}$	594	$(<0.3)^{i}$
49	$(CH_{-}) = C = Ph$	None	218 ^m	(20.07
-				
-0	$(CH_2)_2$	Nameton	9.4.Cm	
00 D OU DI		inone ² m	ə40‴	
$R = CH_2Ph$		0.0	•o <i>i</i>	1 -0 -01
58 17	Me	0.8 N	59 ¹	$(<0.4)^{i}$
17	н	INONE	95*	

^a Relative to codeine (base/base) 30 min after treatment. ^b From small numbers of young, male, Sprague-Dawley rats of differing lots. ^c 1,2-Dimethyl-3-phenyl-3-propionoxypyrrolidine (prodilidine) of the earlier ester series is set equal to $1.^{11}$ ^d See ref 2 and 3. ^e Figures in parentheses were obtained by extrapolation. An effect equivalent to the reference, 11.3 mg of codeine base/kg, was not actually obtained at one-fourth the lethal dose. ^f At one-fourth the lethal dose. ^g Base suspended in saline-acacia. ^h At 400 mg/kg. ⁱ Incomplete solution, especially at lethal dose levels; hence lethal dose and index (last column) probably biased upward and potency sometimes downward. ^j Hydrochloride or hydrobromide suspended in vegetable oil. ^k With NaOH. ⁱ Sample was exhausted before the estimates were completed. ^m Base dissolved and/or suspended in vegetable oil. ^a Prostration at one-fourth and one-eighth the lethal dose; hence quality of activity questionable.

TADLE HI



see corresponding footnotes of preceding table.

apparently associated with changing zwitterionic properties of the free phenol³ and reverses (*supra*) a favorable effect of going from NMe to N-phenethyl or *para* substituted phenethyl (not occurring with azetidines⁸).

It is interesting to consider the analogy in the relationships between profadol and its Me ether and between morphine and codeine. The improvement in oral: parenteral efficiency of codeine over morphine is well known. Analogously, in graded dose, time-action studies, we have found that on going from the parenteral to the oral route the potency of the Me ether of profadol improves from about 0.5 that of profadol (Table III) to $0.90(0.71 \text{ to } 1.2)_{95\%}$. However, no significant change in the shape of the time-action curves could be shown, and, unfortunately, the acute toxicity of the ether by the oral route became $2.0(1.5 \text{ to } 3.9)_{95\%}$ times that of free profadol. Presumably, etherification

improves the lipid-aqueous distribution coefficient to enhance intestinal absorption, and shuts off metabolic conjugation.

Recapitulation.--While studies of the general structure 1 continue, some major inferences to this point can be recapitulated. In terms of traditional (agonist type) antinociceptive action in rats, (a) the single O function in the *meta* position of the phenyl nucleus is essental. (b) Of 3-*n*-alkylations the 3-*n*-Pr is apparently optimal. (c) Further substitutions in the pyrrolidine nucleus have been deleterious, except that (d) tertiary N is essential. (e) Optima appear with NMe and with $N(CH_2)_2Ph$ (with or without certain para substitutions). (f) Varying acylation of the mphenolic function results in a mild, fairly constant reduction of potency, possibly associated with a fairly facile *in vivo* deacylation needed for full activity. (g) *m*-O-Methylation reduces potency except when it muzzles an unfavorable zwitterionic interaction of 2-alkylation with the phenolic function; it often increases toxicity: it can increase oral: parenteral efficiency; and (by contrast with azetidines⁸) it muzzles a favorable effect of going from NMe to $N(CH_2)_2Ph$ (with or without para substitution). (h) Higher O-alkylation is still more unfavorable to degree and quality of activity. (i) By contrast with piperidines,¹³ the pyrrolidines (1) exhibit varying degrees of apparent separation of parcotic-like physical dependence liability. as evaluated in monkeys, from narcotic-like (agonist) antinociceptive action.

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to thank Dr. R. E. Bowman for his continued advice and helpful suggestions, Mr. F. H. Oliver for the microanalyses Miss E. M. Tanner for physical chemistry determinations, and Mr. J. A. D. Willis for some assistance with the synthetic work. Mrs. P. Varner, Miss J. Wax, Mrs. L. Lembke, and Mr. M. D. Stephens cooperated in the biological work.

(13) S. M. McElvain and D. H. Clemens, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 80, 3915 (1958).